FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT DEC - 62013CENTRAL DISTRIPIT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRENDA CURRY and M AND A MANAGEMENT AS AGENTS.

Plaintiffs

٧.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JENNIFER SANFORD; DOES 1 TO 3 INCLUSIVE,

Defendant. 14

No. CV 13-8497 UA (DUTYx)

ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING ACTION TO STATE COURT

The Court will remand this "Complaint - Unlawful Detainer," Case No. 13R06251, to state court summarily because Defendant removed it improperly.

On November 18, 2013, Defendant Jazmin Sanford, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed in forma pauperis.

The Court has denied the in forma pauperis application under separate cover because the action, again, was not properly removed. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, as the Court has previously determined, Plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, in that Defendant does not competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and

therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005). Even if complete diversity of citizenship existed, the amount in controversy does not exceed the diversity-jurisdiction threshold of \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). On the contrary, the unlawful-detainer complaint recites that the amount in controversy does not exceed \$10,000. Nor does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b). Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Santa Monica, 1725 S. Main Street, Santa Monica, California 90401 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 11/27/13 Chief United States District Judge Presented by: FREDERICK F. MUMM United States Magistrate Judge